arXiv:1512.02348v2 [math.NT] 25 Mar 2016

A Hermite-Minkowski type theorem of varieties over finite fields

Toshiro Hiranouchi

March 28, 2016

We show the finiteness of étale coverings of a variety over a finite field with given degree whose ramification bounded along an effective Cartier divisor. The proof is an application of P. Delgine's theorem [4] (H. Esnault and M. Kerz in Acta Math. Vietnam. 37:531–562, 2012) on a finiteness of l-adic sheaves with restricted ramification. By applying our result to a smooth curve over a finite field, one obtain a function field analogue of the classical Hermite-Minkowski theorem.

1 Introduction

The Hermite-Minkowski theorem (e.g., [15], Chap. III, Thm. 2.13; [5], Chap. V, Thm. 2.6) asserts that there exist only finitely many extensions of a number field F with given degree unramified outside a finite set of primes of F. In [5], G. Faltings gave a higher dimensional generalization of this theorem which is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([5], Chap. VI, Sect. 2.4; [9], Thm. 2.9)**.** *Let X be a connected scheme of finite type and dominant (e.g., flat) over* $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$*. Then there exist only finitely many étale coverings of X with given degree.*

Here, an **étale covering** of X means a finite étale morphism $X' \to X$. The aim of this note is to give a "function field" analogue of this theorem.

We begin a simple observation: For a function field F of one variable over a finite field with characteristic p, the Artin-Schreier equations produce infinitely many extensions of F whose degree p which ramify only in a finite set of places (see e.g., [7], Sect. 8.23). Recalling that the discriminants of extensions of a number field are bounded ([16], Chap. III, Sect. 6, Prop. 13) to obtain a finiteness as above we have to restrict ramification. We present the results in this note precisely. Let X be a connected and separated scheme of finite type over a finite field (we call such schemes just **varieties** in the following *cf.* Notation), and $\overline{X}$ a compactification of X (*cf.* Sect. 2). For an effective

Cartier divisor D with support $|D| \subset Z = \overline{X} \setminus X$, we will introduce the notion of *bounded ramification along* D for étale coverings of X (whose ramification locus is in the boundary Z) in the next section (Def. 2.1). Adopting this notion, we show the following theorem as a main theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Thm. 3.4)**.** *Let $X \subset \overline{X}$ be as above. There exist only finitely many étale coverings of X with bounded degree and ramification bounded by a given effective Cartier divisor D with support in $Z = \overline{X} \setminus X$.*

A key ingredient for the proof is (a weak form of) Deligne's finiteness theorem on smooth Weil sheaves with bounded ramification [4] (Thm. 3.3). For an étale covering $X' \to X$ of smooth *curves* over a finite field, if its degree and the discriminant are bounded, then the ramification of the covering $X' \to X$ in our sense is also bounded (Prop. 2.7). From this, one obtain an alternative proof of the following theorem.

Corollary 1.3 (*cf.* [7], Thm. 8.23.5)**.** *Let F be a function field of one variable over a finite field. Then there exist only finitely many separable extensions of F with bounded degree and discriminant.*

Contents

The contents of this note is the following:

- Sect. 2 :
  - We define the notion of bounded ramification along an effective Cartier divisor D for étale coverings of a variety X over a finite field (Def. 2.1). We also introduce the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D)$ which classifies such étale coverings of X with bounded ramification along D (Def. 2.2).
  - We define the Swan conductor of smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves as in [4] (Def. 2.10). In Lem. 2.11, we give one relation between the Swan conductor of smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf and our notion of the bounded ramification.
- Sect. 3 :
  - We interpret the Hermite-Minkowski type finiteness as above into a property of profinite groups called *smallness* which is studied in [9] (Def. 3.1).
  - The proof of the main theorem (Thm. 3.4) is given by showing the smallness of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D)$.
  - We also provide some applications of our main theorem to a finiteness of representations (with finite images) of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D)$ (Cor. 3.6).

Notation

In this note, a **local field** is a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field. Its **residue characteristic** means the characteristic of the residue field associated to the local field. For a field F, we denote by

- $\overline{F}$: a separable closure, and
- $G_F := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$: the Galois group of $\overline{F}$ over F.

We also use the following notation:

- p : a fixed prime number,
- l : a prime number $\neq p$, and
- k : a finite field of characteristic p.

A **variety** over k means a separated and connected scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. A **curve** over k is a variety over k with dimension 1.

For an integral variety X over k, we denote by

- $k(X)$: the function field of X.

An **étale covering** of X we mean a finite étale morphism $X' \to X$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. As in [6], Sect. 3.2, we call a pair $(X, \overline{x})$ of a variety X over k and a geometric point $\overline{x}$ of X a **pointed variety** over k. A **morphism** $f : (Y, \overline{y}) \to (X, \overline{x})$ of pointed varieties means a morphism $f : Y \to X$ with $f(\overline{y}) = \overline{x}$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Yuichiro Taguchi for helpful advice and comments on Lem. 2.11, and the proof of Thm. 3.4. Thanks also are due to Shinya Harada, and Takahiro Tsushima for fruitful discussions and valuable suggestions on this note. This work was supported by KAKENHI 25800019.

2 Ramification

Adding to Notation, throughout this note, we use the following notation: For a variety X over the finite field k (*cf.* Notation),

- $\overline{X}$: a compactification of X (over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$) by Nagata's theorem (*cf.* [14]), that is, a proper scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ which contains X as a dense open subscheme,
- $Z := \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$: the boundary of X,
- $\mathrm{Cu}(X)$: the set of the normalizations of closed integral subschemes of X of dimension 1, and
- $\mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$: the monoid of effective Cartier divisors D on $\overline{X}$ whose support $|D|$ is in Z.

For each $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$, we denote by

- $\overline{C}$: the smooth compactification of C (which exists uniquely by a resolution of singularities),
- $\overline{\phi} : \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$: the canonical extension of ϕ (by the valuative criterion of properness), and
- $k(C)_x$: the completion of the function field $k(C)$ at $x \in \overline{C}$ which is a local field in our sense.

For a local field K (*cf.* Notation),

- $(G_K^\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}}$: the ramification filtration of $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ (in the upper numbering) (*cf.* [16], Chap. IV) in which G_K^0 is the inertia subgroup of G_K, and
- $G_K^{\lambda+} :=$ the topological closure of $\bigcup_{\lambda' > \lambda} G_K^{\lambda'}$ in G_K for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. In particular, G_K^{0+} is the wild inertia subgroup of G_K.

Étale coverings

Definition 2.1 (*cf.* [9], Def. 3.2)**.** (i) Let K be a local field. For a separable extension L/K (contained in $\overline{K}$) and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, we say that the **ramification of L/K is bounded by** λ if we have $G_K^{\lambda+} \subset G_L = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$. In particular, L/K is tamely ramified if and only if of ramification bounded by 0.

(ii) Let X be a smooth *curve* over k, and $\overline{X}$ the smooth compactification of X. Let $D = \sum m_x[x] \in \mathrm{Div}_Z^+(\overline{X})$ ($m_x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$). An étale covering $X' \to X$ is said to be of **ramification bounded by** D if the extension $k(X')_{x'}/k(X)_x$ of local fields is of ramification bounded by m_x for all $x \in Z$ (putting $m_x = 0$ if $x \notin |D|$) and for all $x' \in \overline{X'}$ above x.

(iii) Let X be a *variety* over k. For $D \in \mathrm{Div}_Z^+(\overline{X})$, an étale covering $X' \to X$ is said to be of **ramification bounded by** D if for every $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$ and for each irreducible component C' of $C \times_X X'$, the ramification of the induced morphism $C' \to C$ is bounded by $\overline{\phi}^* D$ where $\overline{\phi}^* D$ is the inverse image of D by $\overline{\phi}$ (for the existence of $\overline{\phi}^* D$, see Lem. 2.5 below).

For a pointed variety $(X, \overline{x})$ (*cf.* Notation), we define

- $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$: the Galois category of étale coverings of X,
- $\pi_1(X, \overline{x})$: the fundamental group of $(X, \overline{x})$ associated to $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ ([8], Exp. V, Sect. 7), and
- $(\pi_1(X, \overline{x})\text{-sets})$: the category of finite sets on which $\pi_1(X, \overline{x})$ acts continuously on the left.

The fiber functor $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_X(\overline{x}, Y)$ gives an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Cov}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\pi_1(X, \overline{x})\text{-sets}) \tag{1}$$

([8], Exp. V, Prop. 5.8; [6], Thm. 3.2.12). For $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, the full subcategory $\mathrm{Cov}(X \subset \overline{X}, D) \subset \mathrm{Cov}(X)$ of étale coverings of X with ramification bounded by D also forms a Galois category (see [9], Lem. 3.3).

Definition 2.2. Associated to the Galois category $\mathrm{Cov}(X \subset \overline{X}, D)$, we define the fundamental group by

$$\pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D, \overline{x}) := \varprojlim_{(Y,\overline{y})} \mathrm{Aut}_X(Y)^{\mathrm{op}},$$

where the projective limit is taken over a projective system of pointed Galois coverings $(Y, \overline{y}) \to (X, \overline{x})$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(X \subset \overline{X}, D)$.

In the following, we write $\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ and $\mathrm{Cov}(X, D)$ when we need not to specify the fixed compactification $\overline{X}$ of X. The functors $\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{X}) \to \mathrm{Cov}(X, D)$; $\overline{Y} \mapsto \overline{Y} \times_{\overline{X}} X$, and $\mathrm{Cov}(X, D) \to \mathrm{Cov}(X)$; $Y \mapsto Y$ induce homomorphisms

$$\pi_1(X, \overline{x}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D, \overline{x}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\overline{X}, \overline{x}) \tag{2}$$

which are surjective ([8], Exp. V, Prop. 6.9).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : (X', \overline{x'}) \to (X, \overline{x})$ be a morphism of pointed varieties over k, and $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$. Assume the conditions* (a) *and* (b) *below.*

(a) *There exists a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X'} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \overline{X} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

with a morphism $\overline{f}$ from a compactification $\overline{X'}$ of X' to $\overline{X}$, where the vertical morphisms are the inclusions.

(b) *The inverse image $\overline{f}^* D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_{Z'}(\overline{X'})$ of D exists, where $Z' = \overline{X'} \smallsetminus X'$.*

Then we have a canonical homomorphism

$$\pi_1(X' \subset \overline{X'}, \overline{f}^* D, \overline{x'}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D, \overline{x}).$$

Proof. We prove that the functor $\mathrm{Cov}(X) \to \mathrm{Cov}(X')$; $Y \mapsto Y \times_X X'$ induces a functor $\mathrm{Cov}(X \subset \overline{X}, D) \to \mathrm{Cov}(X' \subset \overline{X'}, \overline{f}^* D)$. The latter functor gives the required homomorphism on the fundamental groups ([8], Exp. V, Sect. 6). For $h : Y \to X \in \mathrm{Cov}(X \subset$

$\overline{X}, D$), it is enough to show that $Y' := Y \times_X X' \to X'$ is of ramification bounded by $\overline{f}^* D$. Take any $\phi' : C' \to X' \in \mathrm{Cu}(X')$, and we have to show that $h' : Y' \times_{X'} C' \to C'$ gives étale coverings of ramification bounded by $\overline{\phi'}^*(\overline{f}^* D)$. Here, we divide the proof into the two cases according to the image $f \circ \phi'(C')$ in X.

(**The case where $f \circ \phi'(C')$ is a point**) If the image of the composite $f \circ \phi'$ is a closed point of X, then $h' : Y' \times_{X'} C' \to C'$ induces separable constant field extensions of $k(C')$, and thus unramified on the boundary of C'. In particular, h' is of ramification bounded by $\overline{\phi'}^*(\overline{f}^* D)$.

(**The case where $f \circ \phi'(C')$ is a curve**) If $f \circ \phi'$ factors through $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$ as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X, \end{array}$$

then the extension $\overline{g} : \overline{C'} \to \overline{C}$ of g fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{C'} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi'}} & \overline{X'} \\ {\scriptstyle \overline{g}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{f}} \\ \overline{C} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}} & \overline{X}. \end{array}$$

Since $h : Y \to X$ is of ramification bounded by D, the base change $Y \times_X C \to C$ produces étale coverings of C which are of ramification bounded by $\overline{\phi}^* D$. It is left to show that $h' : Y' \times_{X'} C' = Y \times_X C' \to C'$ is of ramification bounded by $\overline{\phi'}^*(\overline{f}^* D) = \overline{g}^*(\overline{\phi}^* D)$. As a result, we may assume that $f : X' \to X$ is a dominant morphism of smooth curves over k.

Let $D = \sum_x m_x[x] \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, and $Y \in \mathrm{Cov}(X \subset \overline{X}, D)$. Take an irreducible component Y' of $Y \times_X X'$. We prove that the induced covering $Y' \to X'$ is of ramification bounded by $\overline{f}^* D$. At $x' \in Z' = \overline{X'} \setminus X'$ with $\overline{f}(x') = x$, the multiplicity of $\overline{f}^* D$ is $e_x m_x$, where e_x is the ramification index of the extension $k(X')_{x'}/5k(X)_x$. The assertion carries over to the local situation as in the following lemma. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a local field, and K'/K a finite separable extension with ramification index e. If a finite separable extension L/K is of ramification bounded by m, then LK'/K' is of ramification bounded by em.*

Proof. Put $G_{K'} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K')$. The Herbrand function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ of K'/K is characterized by the equality: $G_K^{\lambda} \cap G_{K'} = G_{K'}^{\psi(\lambda)}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ ([16], Chap. IV, Sect. 3, Rem. 3). Thus, we have $G_{K'}^{e\lambda} \subset G_{K'}^{\psi(\lambda)} \subset G_K^{\lambda}$ by $\psi(\lambda) \leqslant e\lambda$. The assumption $G_K^{m+} \subset G_L = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$ implies $G_{K'}^{em+} \subset G_L \cap G_{K'} = G_{LK'}$. Hence, LK'/K' is of ramification bounded by em. □

Concerning the condition (b) in Lem. 2.3, the lemma below assures the existence of the inverse image of an effective Cartier divisor in some situations.

Lemma 2.5 (*cf.* [3], Chap. IV, Sect. 21.4)**.** *Let $f : X' \to X$ be a morphism of varieties over k, and D an effective Cartier divisor on X. Then the inverse image f^*D is defined in each of the following conditions:*

(a) *f is flat,*

(b) *X', X are integral, and f is a dominant morphism,*

(c) *X' is reduced, and for the generic point $\xi' \in X'$ of any irreducible component of X', we have $f(\xi') \notin |D|$, and*

(d) *f is a blowing up of X along a closed subscheme of X.*

For a normal variety X over k, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \overline{x})$ is isomorphic to a Galois group of the function field $k(X)$ as

$$\pi_1(X, \overline{x}) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(k(X)_Z/k(X)),$$

where $k(X)_Z$ is the maximal extension of $k(X)$ unramified outside Z, that is, the subfield of the separable closure $\overline{k(X)}$ (which is determined by the chosen geometric point $\overline{x}$) generated by all finite separable extensions E of $k(X)$ contained in $\overline{k(X)}$ satisfying that the normalization $X^E \to X$ of X in E is unramified (*cf.* [8] Exp. V, Prop. 8.2; [6], Prop. 3.3.6). As in the same way, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ also has a description

$$\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x}) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(k(X)_D/k(X)).$$

Here, $k(X)_D$ is the subfield of $k(X)_Z$ generated by all finite separable extensions E of $k(X)$ contained in $k(X)_Z$ satisfying that the normalization $X^E \to X$ is of ramification bounded by D. When X is a smooth curve, it is easy to describe the kernel of $\pi_1(X, \overline{x}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ (2) explicitly as follows:

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a smooth curve over k, and $\overline{X}$ the smooth compactification of X. For $D = \sum m_x[x] \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, we denote by N the normal closed subgroup of $\pi_1(X, \overline{x})$ generated by the image of the ramification subgroup $G_x^{m_x+}$ of $G_x := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k(X)_x}/k(X)_x)$ by the homomorphism $G_x \to \pi_1(X)$ which are given by choosing an embedding $\overline{k(X)} \hookrightarrow \overline{k(X)_x}$ over $k(X)$ and their conjugates in $\pi_1(X)$, for all $x \in Z$. Then we have*

$$\pi_1(X, \overline{x})/N \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_1(X, D, \overline{x}).$$

For each finite étale morphism $f : X' \to X$ of smooth *curves* over k, we denote by $D_{X'/X}$ the discriminant for the extension of the function fields $k(X')/k(X)$ ([16], Chap.

III, Sect. 3). The ramification locus of f coincides with the support $|D_{X'/X}|$ ([16], Chap. III, Sect. 5, Cor. 1) so that one can consider this $D_{X'/X}$ as a Cartier divisor with support in $Z = \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $f : X' \to X$ be a finite étale morphism of smooth curves over k, and $\overline{X}$ the smooth compactification. If we have $D_{X'/X} \leqslant D$ for some $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, then there exists $D' \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$ which depends only on D and the degree of f such that the ramification of $f : X' \to X$ is bounded by D'.*

Proof. For $x \in Z$ and $x' \in \overline{X}$ with $\overline{f}(x') = x$, we denote by $D_{k(X')_{x'}/k(X)_x}$ the discriminant of the extension $k(X')_{x'}/k(X)_x$ of local fields. The multiplicity of $D_{X'/X}$ at $x \in Z$ is written as the sum of the valuation $v_K(D_{k(X')_{x'}/k(X)_x})$ of $D_{k(X')_{x'}/k(X)_x}$ over $x' \in \overline{X'}$ above x ([16], Chap. III, Sect. 4, Cor. of Prop. 10). It is enough to show the following lemma. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let K'/K be a separable extension of local fields with $[K' : K] = n$. If $v_K(D_{K'/K}) \leqslant m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, then there exists $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ which depends only on m and n such that the extension K'/K is of ramification bounded by m'.*

Proof. **(Reduction to Galois)** Let R be the valuation ring of K, and $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ the conjugate fields of $K' = K_1$. We denote by $L = K_1 \cdots K_n$ the Galois closure of K'/K. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{K_i/K}$ be the different of K_i/K. Since we have $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K} \supset \mathfrak{D}_{K_1/K} \cdots \mathfrak{D}_{K_n/K}$ as ideals in the integral closure of R in L ([16], Chap. III, Sect. 4, Prop. 8 and Sect. 6, Cor. 2) and the equality $D_{L/K} = N_{L/K}(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K})$ ([16], Chap. III, Prop. 6), we obtain

$$v_K(D_{L/K}) \leqslant nn! v_K(D_{K'/K}) \leqslant nn!m.$$

On the other hand, G_L is the maximal normal subgroup of G_K which is contained in $G_{K'}$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, the ramification of K'/K is bounded by λ if and only if L/K is of ramification bounded by λ. Consequently, we may assume that K'/K is a Galois extension.

(Proof of the lemma) Put $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$. Let $(G^\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}}$ be the ramification filtration of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$ in the upper numbering. We show $G^\lambda = \{1\}$ for any $\lambda > m$. We denote by R the valuation ring of K, and R' the integral closure of R in K'. Take $x \in R'$ such that $R' = R[x]$ ([16], Chap. III, Sect. 6, Prop. 12). For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant -1}$, the ramification subgroup G_μ of G in the lower numbering ([16], Chap. IV, Sect. 3) is defined by $G_\mu = \{\sigma \in G \mid v_{K'}(\sigma(x) - x) \geqslant \mu + 1\}$. For any $\sigma \neq 1$ in G, we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geqslant v_K(D_{K'/K}) \quad \text{(from the assumption)} \\ &\geqslant v_{K'}(\mathfrak{D}_{K'/K}) \quad \text{(by } D_{K'/K} = N_{K'/K}(\mathfrak{D}_{K'/K})\text{)} \\ &\geqslant v_{K'}(\sigma(x) - x) \quad \text{(by [16], Chap. IV, Sect. 2, Prop. 4).} \end{aligned}$$

This inequalities imply $G_\mu = \{1\}$ for any $\mu > m$. Hence, $G^\lambda = G_{\psi(\lambda)} \subset G_\lambda = \{1\}$ for any $\lambda > m$, where ψ is the Herbrand function of K'/K ([16], Chap. IV, Sect. 3). This means that the extension K'/K is of ramification bounded by m. □

l-adic sheaves

For a geometric point $\overline{x}$ of a connected Noetherian scheme X, we have an equivalence of categories ([6], Cor. 10.1.24):

$$(\text{smooth } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l\text{-sheaves on } X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l\text{-representations } \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)). \tag{3}$$

Here, a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation we mean a continuous homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ with a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vector space V (for the precise definition, see [2], 1.1.6, or [6], Sect. 10.1). The equivalence above is given by $\mathscr{F} \mapsto \mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}} = V$, where $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$ is the stalk of $\mathscr{F}$ at $\overline{x}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $f : (X', \overline{x'}) \to (X, \overline{x})$ be a morphism of pointed varieties over k, and $\mathscr{F}$ a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on X. Identifying the isomorphism $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}} \simeq (f^*\mathscr{F})_{\overline{x'}}$ on stalks, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation $\rho' : \pi_1(X', \overline{x'}) \to \mathrm{Aut}((f^*\mathscr{F})_{\overline{x'}})$ corresponding to $f^*\mathscr{F}$ makes the following diagram commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}) \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi}\uparrow & & \| \\ \pi_1(X',\overline{x'}) & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \mathrm{Aut}((f^*\mathscr{F})_{\overline{x'}}), \end{array}$$

where φ is the induced homomorphism of fundamental groups from f, and ρ is the representation corresponding to $\mathscr{F}$.

Proof. For some finite extension E of $\mathbb{Q}_l$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ with valuation ring R, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ is represented by an E-sheaf. So we may assume that $\mathscr{F} = (\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ is an λ-adic sheaf, where λ is a uniformizer of R. Recall that the representation corresponding to $\mathscr{F}$ is given by taking the inverse limit of the representation $\pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{R/(\lambda^{n+1})}((\mathscr{F}_n)_{\overline{x}})$ (*cf.* [6], proof of Prop. 10.1.23), and $f^*\mathscr{F} = (f^*\mathscr{F}_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ by the very definition. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathscr{F}$ is a locally constant sheaf on X with finite stalks and $\rho : \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}})$ is given by the action of $\pi_1(X,\overline{x})$ on $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$. There exists an étale covering $Y \to X$ such that $\underline{Y} \simeq \mathscr{F}$, where $\underline{Y} := \mathrm{Hom}_X(-, Y)$ ([6], Prop. 5.8.1 (i)). On the other hand, we have $f^*\mathscr{F} \simeq \underline{Y \times_X X'}$ ([6], Prop. 5.2.7). The fiber

functors at $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{x'}$ (1) make the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Cov}(X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (\pi_1(X,\overline{x})\text{-sets}) \\ {\scriptstyle -\times_X X'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_*} \\ \mathrm{Cov}(X') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (\pi_1(X',\overline{x'})\text{-sets}), \end{array}$$

where φ_* is the functor induced by the homomorphism φ ([6], Prop. 3.3.1). As a result, the action of $\pi_1(X',\overline{x'})$ on $(f^*\mathscr{F})_{\overline{x'}}$ comes from that of $\pi_1(X,\overline{x})$ on $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}}$. □

Definition 2.10 ([4], Sect. 3, see also [12], Sect. 10.1)**.** (i) Let K be a local field with residue characteristic p. For a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$, the **Swan conductor** of V is defined by

$$\mathrm{Sw}(V) = \sum_{\lambda>0} \lambda \dim(V^{G_K^{\lambda+}}/V^{G_K^{\lambda}}),$$

where $V^{G_K^{\lambda+}}$ and $V^{G_K^{\lambda}}$ are the fixed subspace of V by $\rho(G_K^{\lambda+})$ and $\rho(G_K^{\lambda})$ respectively. In fact, this takes a value in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. We also note that, for two $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representations V and V' of G_K, we have

$$\mathrm{Sw}(V \oplus V') = \mathrm{Sw}(V) + \mathrm{Sw}(V') \tag{4}$$

(*cf.* [4], Sect. 3.1, (3.1); [12], Sect. 4.4).

(ii) Let X be a smooth *curve* over k, and $\overline{X}$ the smooth compactification of X. For a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X, the **Swan conductor** of $\mathscr{F}$ is defined to be the effective Cartier divisor

$$\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) = \sum_{x\in Z} \mathrm{Sw}_x(\mathscr{F})[x] \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X}).$$

Here, for each $x \in Z$, $\mathrm{Sw}_x(\mathscr{F}) := \mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(k(X)_x)})$ is the Swan conductor of (the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation corresponding to) the restriction $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(k(X)_x)}$.

(iii) Let X be a *variety* over k. For $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$ and for a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X, we say that the **ramification of $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded by** D (and write as $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant D$ formally) if, for every $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$, we have $\mathrm{Sw}(\phi^*\mathscr{F}) \leqslant \overline{\phi}^*D$, where $\phi^*\mathscr{F}$ is the pullback of $\mathscr{F}$ by ϕ.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(X,\overline{x})$ be a pointed variety over k, and $\mathscr{F}$ a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on X of rank r. If the corresponding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation $\rho : \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}})$ factors through $\pi_1(X,D,\overline{x})$ for some $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, then we have $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant rD$.*

Proof. For each $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$, we show $\mathrm{Sw}(\phi^*\mathscr{F}) \leqslant \overline{\phi}^*(rD) = r(\overline{\phi}^*D)$ (the last equality follows from the additivity property of $\overline{\phi}^*$: $\overline{\phi}^*(D+D') = \overline{\phi}^*D + \overline{\phi}^*D'$, *cf.* [3], Sect. 21.4.2). The assumption on ρ does not depend on the choice of the geometric point $\overline{x}$ of X. By replacing the geometric point $\overline{x}$ if necessary, the morphism $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(C,\overline{a}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(C,\overline{\phi}^*D,\overline{a}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X,D,\overline{x}) \end{array} \tag{5}$$

by Lem. 2.3, where φ is the induced homomorphism of fundamental groups from ϕ, and $\overline{a}$ a geometric point of C. By Lem. 2.9, the pullback $\phi^*\mathscr{F}$ corresponds to the representation given by the composition $\pi_1(C,\overline{a}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}})$. From the assumption and the diagram (5), this representation factors through $\pi_1(C,\overline{\phi}^*D,\overline{a})$. From this, we may assume that X is a smooth curve over k.

Since the Swan conductor is defined to be $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) = \sum_{x\in Z}\mathrm{Sw}_x(\mathscr{F})[x]$, if we write $D = \sum_{x\in Z} m_x[x]$, it is enough to show $\mathrm{Sw}_x(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant rm_x$ for each $x \in Z$. Using Lem. 2.9 again, the multiplicity $\mathrm{Sw}_x(\mathscr{F})$ at $x \in Z$ is given by the Swan conductor of the representation $G_x \to \pi_1(X,\overline{x}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathscr{F}_{\overline{x}})$, where $G_x := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k(X)_x}/k(X)_x)$. The assumption and Lem. 2.6 imply that this representation factors through $G_x/G_x^{m_x+}$. The assertion is reduced to showing the lemma below. □

Lemma 2.12. *Let K be a local field with residue characteristic p. If a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation $\rho : G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ annihilates G_K^{m+} for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, then we have $\mathrm{Sw}(V) \leqslant \dim(V)m$.*

Proof. Let $L = \overline{K}^{\mathrm{Ker}(\rho)}$ be the extension of K corresponding to $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$. From the assumption, we have $G_K^{m+} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\rho) = G_L$. The ramification of L/K is bounded by m. In particular, we have $V^{G_K^\lambda} = V$ for any $\lambda > m$. We obtain

$$\mathrm{Sw}(V) = \sum_{0<\lambda\leqslant m} \lambda \dim(V^{G_K^{\lambda+}}/V^{G_K^\lambda}) \leqslant m\dim(V).$$

□

3 Finiteness

Smallness

We recall a property of profinite groups called *smallness*. This notion is also refereed as "type (F)" in [17], Chap. III, Sect. 4.1.

Definition 3.1 ([9], Def. 2.1)**.** A profinite group G is said to be **small** if there exist only finitely many open subgroups H with $(G : H) \leqslant n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$.

For example, a topologically finitely generated profinite group is small ([9], Prop. 2.4). Using this notion, one can interpret the Hermite-Minkowski theorem as follows: For a number field F and a finite set S of primes of F, the Galois group G_S of the maximal Galois extension of F unramified outside S is small.

Proposition 3.2 ([9], Sect. 2)**.** *Let G and G' be profinite groups.*

(i) *G is small if and only if there exist only finitely many open normal subgroups N with $(G : N) \leqslant n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$.*

(ii) *If G is small and N is a closed normal subgroup of G, then the quotient group G/N is small.*

(iii) *If G and G' are small, then their free product $G * G'$ is also small.*

Main theorem

Recall that a smooth Weil sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on a variety X over k consists of a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\overline{\mathscr{F}}$ on $X \otimes_k \overline{k} := X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k})$ and an action of the Weil group $W_k \subset G_k$ on $\overline{\mathscr{F}}$ ([2], Def. 1.1.10 (i)). For a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X, the pullback of $\mathscr{F}$ on $X \otimes_k \overline{k}$ by the projection $X \otimes_k \overline{k} \to X$ and the action of W_k which is given by the restriction of that of G_k produce a smooth Weil sheaf on X. This construction gives a fully faithful functor

$$(\text{smooth } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l\text{-sheaves on } X) \hookrightarrow (\text{smooth Weil sheaves on } X). \tag{6}$$

The Weil sheaves in the essential image of the above functor are said to be **étale** ([2], 1.3.2). In fact, for a general Weil sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X and $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$ the condition "$\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant D$" is defined in the same manner as Def. 2.10 using the Weil group $W(X, \overline{x}) \subset \pi_1(X, \overline{x})$ ([4], Sect. 3). For a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant D \text{ in the sense of Def. 2.10} \iff \mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F} \text{ as an étale Weil sheaf}) \leqslant D. \tag{7}$$

From this, we often identify smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves and the corresponding étale Weil sheaves. Adding to [2], for more details on Weil sheaves, see also [11], Chap. I, and [12], Sect. 10. Following [4], for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, we denote by

- $\mathcal{R}_r(X)$: the set of smooth Weil sheaves on X of rank r up to isomorphism and up to semi-simplification.

Theorem 3.3 ([4], Thm. 2.1)**.** *Let X be a smooth variety over k, and $\overline{X}$ a normal compactification of X such that $Z = \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$ is the support of an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$. Then for any $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $D \in \mathrm{Div}_Z^+(\overline{X})$, the set of irreducible sheaves $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{R}_r(X)$ with*

- $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant D$, *and*
- $\det(\mathscr{F})^{\otimes n} = 1$

is finite, where $\det(\mathscr{F}) := \bigwedge^r \mathscr{F}$ is the determinant of $\mathscr{F}$.

From the Galois correspondence within the Galois category $\mathrm{Cov}(X, D)$ for a variety X over k, Thm. 1.2 is equivalent to the smallness of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ which is stated in Thm. 3.4 below. For another geometric point $\overline{x'}$ of X, we have an isomorphism $\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x'}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ and two such isomorphisms differ by an inner automorphism of $\pi_1(X, D, \overline{x})$ (*cf.* [6], Prop. 3.2.13). The reason why we are interested in the smallness, we omit the base point $\overline{x}$ of X from the fundamental groups and write $\pi_1(X, D)$ as well as $\pi_1(X)$. Under this convention, it must be noted that a *canonical* homomorphism or a *commutative* diagram concerning these groups makes sense viewing it up to conjugates.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a variety over k with a compactification $\overline{X}$, and $Z = \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$. Then $\pi_1(X, D)$ is small for any $D \in \mathrm{Div}_Z^+(\overline{X})$.*

Proof. First, we reduce the assertion to the situation in which Thm. 3.3 holds, that is, X is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and the compactification $\overline{X}$ is normal with the boundary $Z = \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$ which is the support of an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$.

(Reduction to reduced) Let $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}}$ and X_{red} be the reduced closed subschemes associated to $\overline{X}$ and X respectively. The natural morphisms $f : X_{\mathrm{red}} \hookrightarrow X$ and $\overline{f} : \overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ which are closed immersions give the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \overline{X} \\ {\scriptstyle j_{\mathrm{red}}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ X_{\mathrm{red}} & \xrightarrow{f} & X, \end{array}$$

where j_{red} is the morphism associated to the inclusion map j ([3], Chap. I, 5.1.5). Since we have $|\overline{X}| = |\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}}|$ and $|X_{\mathrm{red}}| = |X|$ as the underlying topological spaces, the induced morphism j_{red} is a dominant open immersion ([3], Chap. I, Prop. 5.1.6). Here, $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}}$ is reduced, and for the generic point ξ of an irreducible component of $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}}$, we have $\overline{f}(\xi) \notin Z = \overline{X} \smallsetminus X$. In particular, $\overline{f}(\xi) \notin |D|$. The inverse image $\overline{f}^* D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_{\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}} \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{red}}}(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{red}})$ is

defined (the case (c) in Lem. 2.5). By Lem. 2.3, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X_{\mathrm{red}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X_{\mathrm{red}}, \overline{f}^* D) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, D), \end{array} \tag{8}$$

where the vertical homomorphisms are surjective as we noted in (2). Since the top horizontal homomorphism in (8) is known to be bijective ([8], Exp. IX, Prop. 1.7), the bottom is surjective. If we assume that $\pi_1(X_{\mathrm{red}}, \overline{f}^* D)$ is small, then so is $\pi_1(X, D)$ by Prop. 3.2 (ii). Therefore, we may assume that X and $\overline{X}$ are reduced.

(Reduction to integral) Since $\overline{X}$ is Noetherian, it has only a finite number of irreducible components $\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_n$ The irreducible components of X are given by $X_i := \overline{X}_i \cap X$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ([13], Prop. 2.4.5(b)). We endow these components with the reduced closed subscheme structure. For each i, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}_i & \overset{\overline{f}_i}{\hookrightarrow} & \overline{X} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X_i & \overset{f_i}{\hookrightarrow} & X, \end{array}$$

where $\overline{f}_i$ is the natural morphism and f_i is induced by $\overline{f}_i$. The inverse image $D_i := \overline{f}_i{}^* D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_{\overline{X}_i \setminus X_i}(\overline{X}_i)$ exists. In fact, for the generic point $\xi_i \in \overline{X}_i$, we have $\overline{f}_i(\xi_i) \notin |D|$ (the case (c) in Lem. 2.5). The collection of morphisms $\{ f_i \}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ induces $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n X_i \to X$ which is an effective descent morphism. The descent theory ([8], Exp. IX, Thm. 5.1) says that $\pi_1(X)$ is a quotient of the group freely generated by $\pi_1(X_i)$ for all i and finitely many generators $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \in \pi_1(X)$. Namely, we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1(X_i) * \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X).$$

The fundamental groups $\pi_1(X_i, D_i)$ and $\pi_1(X, D)$ are quotients of $\pi_1(X_i)$ and $\pi_1(X)$ respectively as in (2). The canonical homomorphisms $\pi_1(X_i, D_i) \to \pi_1(X, D)$ of Lem. 2.3 give a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1(X_i) * \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{n} \pi_1(X_i, D_i) * \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\gamma_i} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, D), \end{array} \tag{9}$$

where $\overline{\gamma_i}$ is the image of γ_i by $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D)$. From the diagram (9), $\pi_1(X, D)$ is also a quotient of the group generated by $\pi_1(X_i, D_i)$ for all i and finitely many generators. If we assume $\pi_1(X_i, D_i)$ is small for all i, their free products are small by Prop. 3.2 (iii) and the same holds for $\pi_1(X, D)$ by Prop. 3.2 (ii). Thus, we may assume that X and $\overline{X}$ are integral.

(Reduction to normal) Let $\overline{f} : \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be the normalization of $\overline{X}$. The morphism $\overline{f}$ is finite ([13], Cor. 4.1.30) and hence proper. The restriction $f : X' := \overline{f}^{-1}(X) \to X$ of $\overline{f}$ gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X'} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \overline{X} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

which is commutative. The morphism f is also the normalization of X (from the very definition of the normalization, [13], Def. 4.1.19). By the descent theory again, $\pi_1(X)$ is a quotient of the group generated by $\pi_1(X')$ and finitely many generators $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \in \pi_1(X)$ as

$$\pi_1(X') * \mathop{*}_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X).$$

The inverse image $D' := \overline{f}^*(D)$ on $\overline{X'}$ exists by Lem. 2.5 (the case (b)). From Lem. 2.3 we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X') * \mathop{*}_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X', D') * \mathop{*}_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\gamma_i} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, D), \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{\gamma_i}$ is the image of γ_i by $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D)$. The bottom homomorphism in the diagram (10) becomes surjective. By Prop. 3.2 (ii) and (iii) as above (the reduction to integral), the assertion is reduced to the situation where X and $\overline{X}$ are normal.

(Reduction to smooth) Take the smooth locus U of X which forms an open subscheme of X ([13], Cor. 8.2.40). From Lem. 2.3, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(U) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(U \subset \overline{X}, D) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D). \end{array}$$

Since the top horizontal homomorphism $\pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(X)$ in the diagram above is surjective ([8], Exp. V, Prop. 6.6), so is the bottom. From Lem. 3.2 (ii), we may assume that X is smooth over k.

(Reduction to $Z = |E|$ for some effective Cartier divisor E) Let $\overline{p} : \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be the blowing up of $\overline{X}$ along the reduced closed subscheme $Z = \overline{X} \setminus X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. Note that $\overline{X}'$ is integral ([3], Chap. II, Prop. 8.1.4) (but may not be normal), $\overline{p}$ is proper and induces an isomorphism $X' := \overline{p}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$ ([13], Prop. 8.1.12 (b) and (d)) which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}' & \xrightarrow{\overline{p}} & \overline{X} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X. \end{array}$$

Hence, we have a dominant open immersion $X' \hookrightarrow \overline{X}'$ whose complement $\overline{X}' \setminus X'$ is the support of an effective Cartier divisor on X' ([13], Prop. 8.1.12 (e)). The inverse image $D' := \overline{p}^* D$ exists (the case (d) in Lem. 2.5). Lem. 2.3 gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X' \subset \overline{X}', D') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D). \end{array}$$

From this diagram, the bottom horizontal map $\pi_1(X' \subset \overline{X}', D') \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D)$ is surjective. Using Prop. 3.2 (ii), we may assume that $Z = \overline{X} \setminus X$ is the support of an effective Cartier divisor E on $\overline{X}$.

(Reduction to a normal compactification) Let $\overline{f} : \overline{X}' \to \overline{X}$ be the normalization. This morphism $\overline{f}$ is finite and induces an isomorphism $X' := \overline{f}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$. From Lem. 2.3, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}' & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \overline{X} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X \end{array}$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X' \subset \overline{X}', D') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D). \end{array}$$

In particular, we obtain a surjective homomorphism $\pi_1(X' \subset \overline{X}', D') \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X \subset \overline{X}, D)$. Accordingly, there exists an open immersion $X' \hookrightarrow \overline{X}'$ with $|\overline{X}' \setminus X'| = |\overline{f}^* E|$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that X is smooth and $\overline{X}$ is a normal

compactification of X whose boundary $Z = \overline{X} \setminus X$ is the support of an effective Cartier divisor on $\overline{X}$.

Next, we show the smallness of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, D)$ under these assumptions.

(Proof of the smallness) For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_r$ the set of open *normal* subgroups N of $\pi_1(X, D)$ with $(\pi_1(X, D) : N) = r$. By Prop. 3.2 (i), it is enough to show $\#\mathcal{S}_r < \infty$. For each $N \in \mathcal{S}_r$, consider the regular representation $\overline{\rho}_N : \pi_1(X, D)/N \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ of the finite group $\pi_1(X, D)/N$. By composing the natural homomorphisms (*cf.* (2)), $\overline{\rho}_N$ induces

$$\rho_N : \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X, D)/N \overset{\overline{\rho}_N}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l).$$

We denote by $\mathscr{F}_N \in \mathcal{R}_r(X)$ the étale Weil sheaf on X associated with ρ_N (*cf.* (3) and (6)). By considering the irreducible decomposition, $\mathscr{F}_N$ consists of irreducible components $\mathscr{F}$ of rank $\leqslant r$ satisfying

- $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}) \leqslant \mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}_N) \leqslant rD$ (by (4), Lem. 2.11 and (7)), and
- $\det(\mathscr{F})^{\otimes r} = \det(\mathscr{F}_N)^{\otimes r} = 1$ (for $(\pi_1(X, D) : N) = r$, and hence $\det(\rho_N)^{\otimes r} = 1$).

Thm. 3.3 implies that there exist only finitely many such irreducible sheaves. For we have $\#\mathcal{S}_r = \#\{\mathscr{F}_N\}_{N \in \mathcal{S}_r}$, the assertion $\#\mathcal{S}_r < \infty$ follows. □

Applications

Let F be an algebraically closed field, X a variety over k, and $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$. As in [9], Sect. 4, our main theorem (Thm. 3.4) implies some finiteness results of representations $\pi_1(X, D) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(F)$. Here, we endow $\mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ with the discrete topology. We define

$$\pi_1(X, D)^0 := \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi : \pi_1(X, D) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathrm{Spec}(k)) = G_k),$$

where the homomorphism φ is induced from the structure morphism $X \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Since $G_k (\simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is abelian, $\pi_1(X, D)^0$ does not depend on the choice of the (omitted) geometric point.

Definition 3.5. A representation $\rho : \pi_1(X, D) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ is said to be **geometric** if it satisfies $\rho(\pi_1(X, D)) = \rho(\pi_1(X, D)^0)$.

When X is normal, this is equivalent to that the corresponding extension of the function field $k(X)$ contains no constant field extension.

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be a variety over k, $\overline{X}$ a normal compactification of X, and $Z = \overline{X} \setminus X$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ and $D \in \mathrm{Div}^+_Z(\overline{X})$, we have the following:*

(i) *There exist only finitely many isomorphism classes of semi-simple geometric representations* $\pi_1(X,D) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ *with solvable image.*

(ii) *If the characteristic of* F *is* 0*, then there exist only finitely many isomorphism classes of semi-simple geometric representations* $\pi_1(X,D) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(F)$.

Proof. Denote by $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)$ the abelianization of $\pi_1(X,D)$, that is,

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D) := \pi_1(X,D)/\overline{[\pi_1(X,D),\pi_1(X,D)]}, \tag{11}$$

where $\overline{[\pi_1(X,D),\pi_1(X,D)]}$ is the topological closure of the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(X,D)$. First, we show the finiteness of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)^0 := \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D) \to G_k)$. For a topological group G, we denote by

$$G^\vee := \{\, \chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \mid \text{continuous} \,\}$$

the Pontrjagin dual group of G. Recall that there exists a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X)^\vee \simeq H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where $\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X)$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1(X)$ as above (11) and $H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the étale cohomology group ([1] Exp. 1, Sect. 2.2.1). By identifying this isomorphism, we have an injective homomorphism $\psi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)^\vee \hookrightarrow H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ induced from $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X,D)$ (2). Following [10], Def. 2.4, we define

$$\mathrm{fil}_D\, H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{\, \chi \in H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \;\middle|\; \mathrm{Ar}(\chi) \leqslant D \,\right\},$$

where the condition "$\mathrm{Ar}(\chi) \leqslant D$" is defined by $\mathrm{Ar}(\phi^*\chi) \leqslant \overline{\phi}^* D$ for every $\phi : C \to X \in \mathrm{Cu}(X)$ using the Artin conductor $\mathrm{Ar}(\phi^*\chi)$ of the induced representation $\phi^*\chi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(C) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ as in Def. 2.10 (iii). We fix $\mathbb{C}^\times \simeq (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)^\times$, and one can consider $\chi \in H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representation $\chi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Denoting by $\mathscr{F}_\chi$ the corresponding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on X (3), every $\chi \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)^\vee$ satisfies $\mathrm{Sw}(\mathscr{F}_\chi) \leqslant D$ by Lem. 2.11. Considering the difference between the Artin conductor and the Swan conductor, there exists sufficiently large $D' \geqslant D$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(\psi) \subset \mathrm{fil}_{D'} H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. By taking the dual of ψ, $\psi^\vee : (\mathrm{fil}_{D'} H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))^\vee \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)$ has dense image. By Cor. 1.2 in [10], the kernel (which is denoted by $\pi_1^{ab}(X,D)^0$ in [10]) of the natural homomorphism $(\mathrm{fil}_{D'} H^1(X,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))^\vee \to G_k$ is finite. Our claim $\#\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X,D)^0 < \infty$ follows from this.

As in the proof of Lem. 4.4 in [9], by induction on n, we also obtain the finiteness of the n-th commutator subgroup $(\pi_1(X,D)^0)^{(n)}$ ([9], Def. 4.2) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$. Starting from this, the same proofs of Thm. 4.5 (ii) and Thm. 4.6 (ii) in [9] work and the assertions follow. □

References

[1] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.

[2] ———, *La conjecture de Weil. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1980), no. 52, 137–252.

[3] J. Dieudonné and A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32** (1961–1967).

[4] H. Esnault and M. Kerz, *A finiteness theorem for Galois representations of function fields over finite fields (after Deligne)*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **37** (2012), no. 4, 531–562.

[5] G. Faltings, G. Wüstholz, F. Grunewald, N. Schappacher, and U. Stuhler, *Rational points*, third ed., Aspects of Mathematics, E6, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1992, Papers from the seminar held at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn/Wuppertal, 1983/1984, With an appendix by Wüstholz.

[6] L. Fu, *Etale cohomology theory*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 13, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[7] D. Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 35, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[8] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–1961 (SGA 1), Dirigé par Alexandre Grothendieck. Augmenté de deux exposés de M. Raynaud, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 224.

[9] S. Harada and T. Hiranouchi, *Smallness of fundamental groups for arithmetic schemes*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 11, 2702–2712.

[10] M. Kerz and S. Saito, *Lefschetz theorem for abelian fundamental group with modulus*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 3, 689–701.

[11] R. Kiehl and R. Weissauer, *Weil conjectures, perverse sheaves and l'adic Fourier transform*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 42, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[12] L. Kindler and K. Rülling, *Introductory course on ℓ-adic sheaves and their ramification theory on curves*, `arXiv:1409.6899 [math.AG]`.

[13] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002, Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[14] W. Lütkebohmert, *On compactification of schemes*, Manuscripta Math. **80** (1993), no. 1, 95–111.

[15] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.

[16] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.

[17] ———, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, fifth ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

Toshiro Hiranouchi
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 JAPAN
Email address: hira@hiroshima-u.ac.jp